

Meeting Agenda
July 20, 2020, 2:00 PM
Virtual Only Meeting

ZOOM Webinar – Virtual Meeting Link:

<https://zoom.us/j/94728999539?pwd=VTdDSIBHThk2TWZKRIFtcXpTT2h0Zz09>

Phone: 1-669-219-2599; Webinar ID: 947 2899 9539

Invited:

Vice Mayor Chappie Jones, City of San Jose
Council Member Dev Davis, City of San Jose
Council Member Darcy Paul, City of Cupertino
Council Member Teresa O’Neill, City of Santa Clara
Council Member Debi Davis, City of Santa Clara
Supervisor Susan Ellenberg, Santa Clara County
Supervisor Cindy Chavez, Santa Clara County

- 1. Welcome & Introductions (Vice Mayor Chappie Jones, San Jose)**
- 2. Presentation on Proposed Stevens Creek Corridor Vision Study(Tamiko Percell, VTA)**
 - A. History & Overview of High Capacity Transit Along Stevens Creek Corridor**
 - B. Options of Stevens Creek Corridor Vision and Design - Possible Discussion**

VTA Staff to review Draft Scope of Stevens Creek Corridor Vision Study and three options:

 - a) Vision with limited amount (5%) of formal conceptual design*
 - b) Vision with supporting illustrative concepts*
 - c) Pause Effort to seek funding for a complete streets study with alternatives for all the desired transit options that includes at least 10% conceptual design for the entire corridor*
 - C. Financial Model/Contributions- Possible Discussion and Action**

VTA Staff to review approximate contribution of each jurisdiction for each Vision/ Option ranging from \$750,000 - \$4,000,000. Proposed contributions are based on jurisdictional authority of linear miles from Stevens Creek at Montgomery to Stevens Creek at SR 85.

3. Discussion/Feedback/Questions from Participating Jurisdictions (All)

A. [Request for Direction Re: Preferred Vision Option](#)

Members requested to reach consensus on preferred Vision/Option and seek approval from respective Boards/Councils as needed.

4. Next Steps

A. Participating Jurisdictions Seek Council/Board Approval as needed

B. VTA Board Approval

C. Next Meeting

5. Public Comment

Members of the Public are invited to speak on any item that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee. Meeting attendees are usually given two (2) minutes to speak on any discussion item and/or during the online ZOOM virtual webinar forum; the time limit is in the discretion of the Steering Committee and may be limited when appropriate. Speakers using a translator will be given twice the time allotted to ensure non-English speakers receive the same opportunity to directly address the Committee, Board, or Commission.

If you would like to provide public comment, please see the directions below. All members of the public will remain on mute until the individual identifies they would like to speak and then will be unmuted.

The procedure for this meeting is as follows during public comment:

- City Staff or consultant will call out names of the public who identified the items they want to speak on. You may identify yourself by the “Raise Hand” feature on Zoom, or dial *9 on your phone.
- As your name is called, City Staff will unmute you to speak. After we confirm your audio is working your allotted time will begin. Each speaker will have two minutes.

6. Adjournment

*** COVID 19 NOTICE ***

Consistent with the California Governor’s Executive Order No. N-29-20, Resolution No. 79485 from the City of San José and the Santa Clara County Health Officer’s March 16, 2020 Shelter in Place Order, the Stevens Creek Corridor Steering Committee Meeting will not be physically open to the public and Members will teleconference from remote locations.

Members of the public may view, participate, and listen to the meeting by following the instructions listed on the top of the first page of this agenda.

Note

Electronic device instructions:

For participants who would like to join electronically from a PC, Mac, Ipad, iPhone or Android device, please click <https://zoom.us/j/94728999539?pwd=VTdDSIBHThk2TWZKRIFtcXpTT2h0Zz09>. Please ensure your device has audio input and output capabilities. During the session, if you would like to comment, please use the ‘raise hand’ feature in Zoom conference call.

1. Use a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer. Mute all other audio before speaking. Using multiple devices can cause an audio feedback.
2. Enter an email address and name. The name will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
3. When the Chair calls for the item on which you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called to speak.
4. When called, please limit your remarks to the time limit allotted.

Telephone device instructions:

For participants who would like to join by phone, 1-669-219-2599 and when prompted, enter meeting ID: 947 2899 9539 and Password: 749932. Click *9 to raise a hand to speak.

Public Comments prior to meeting: If you would like to submit your comments prior to or during the meeting, please e-mail them to district1@sanjoseca.gov. Comments received will be included as a part of the meeting record but will not be read aloud during the meeting.

The City of San José is committed to open and honest government and strives to consistently meet the community's expectations by providing excellent service, in a positive and timely manner, and in the full view of the public.

You may speak to the Steering Committee about any discussion item that is on the agenda, and you may also speak during Public Comments on items that are not on the agenda and are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Steering Committee. Please be advised that, by law, the Steering Committee is unable to discuss or take action on issues presented during Public Comments. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2, no matter shall be acted upon unless listed on the agenda, which has been posted not less than 72 hours prior to meeting. Agendas, Staff Reports, and some associated documents for agenda items may be viewed on the Internet at <https://www.sjdistrict1.com/stevens-creek-corridor.html>.

All public records relating to an open session item on this agenda, which are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act, that are distributed to a majority of the legislative body will be available for public inspection by clicking the link associated specifically to documents on this agenda, at the same time that the public records are distributed or made available to the legislative body. Any draft resolutions or other items posted on the Internet site or distributed in advance of the commission meeting may not be the final documents approved by the commission. Contact the Office of the City Manager for the final document.

On occasion the Steering Committee may consider agenda items out of order.

City Hall is currently closed due to the COVID19 shelter-in-place and records are not available for in-person inspection. The Steering Committee meets occasionally, with special meetings as necessary.

To request an accommodation or alternative format under the Americans with Disabilities Act for City-sponsored meetings, events or printed materials, please call (408) 294-9337 (TTY) as soon as possible, but at least three business days before the meeting.

Please direct correspondence and questions to:

City of San José
District 1
Attn: Raania Mohsen
200 East Santa Clara Street, San José, California 95113
Tel: (408) 535-4901

Summary of Options for Stevens Creek Study

	Study Option	Description	Major Elements	Study Concerns	Benefits	Schedule Constraints*	Estimated Cost	% Contribution by Jurisdiction
A	<p>Vision with limited amount of formal conceptual design (Fehr & Peers Proposal)</p> <p>Earliest Start: April 2021</p>	Develop agreed upon vision and principles for the corridor with formal design of sample segments that represent different ways the vision can be implemented along the corridor. Develop implementation plan for next steps.	<p>Vision: Robust community and jurisdiction stakeholder engagement to develop vision.</p> <p>Design: 4 segments of .75 miles each and 3 conceptual design alternatives up to 5% per segment based on results of the HCTC study (ex. grade separated tunnel, grade separated aerial, BRT, side running bus etc.)</p>	<p>Design might not be sufficient to convey impacts to property owners.</p> <p>COVID impacts to data and outreach</p>	<p>Consensus on a vision for a multijurisdictional corridor is probably the most powerful first step to secure design funding.</p> <p>Allows all stakeholders and the community to identify and solidify priorities.</p> <p>Enough design to see how a few design typologies might work on the corridor.</p> <p>A sense for some transit, ped and bike improvements, without major funding and time commitment.</p>	<p>Funding agreement deadline: November 2020</p> <p>Delay in funding or Board approval/decline could trigger sole source or go out to bid. This will exclude all current consultants from proposing, which could increase cost. Will delay study by ~7 months</p>	\$960K (Secure additional funding)	See attachment
B	<p>Vision with supporting illustrative concepts (descoped version of option A)</p> <p>*VTA's preference</p> <p>Earliest Start: April 2021</p>	Develop an agreed upon vision and principles for the corridor with illustrative concepts to aid in visualizing and communicating the collective vision. Develop implementation plan for next steps.	<p>Vision: Robust community and jurisdiction stakeholder engagement to develop vision.</p> <p>Design: illustrative concepts based on current field conditions (narrowest and widest curb to curb width); descope all tasks related to design</p>	<p>Design might not be sufficient to convey impacts to property owners.</p> <p>COVID impacts to data and outreach</p>	<p>Consensus on a vision for a multijurisdictional corridor is probably the most powerful first step to secure design funding.</p> <p>Allows all stakeholders and the community to identify and solidify priorities.</p> <p>A sense for how the corridor could look and feel in narrow and wide sections</p>	<p>Funding agreement deadline: November 2020</p> <p>Delay in funding or Board approval/decline could trigger sole source or go out to bid. This will exclude all current consultants from proposing, which could increase cost. Will delay study by ~7 months</p>	\$700-\$750K (negotiate option A and secure additional funding)	See attachment
C	<p>Pause Effort to seek funding for a complete streets study with alternatives for all the desired transit options that includes at least 10% conceptual design for the entire corridor</p> <p>Earliest Start: years from now</p>	Develop agreed upon vision and principles for the corridor and a complete streets & grade separation study	<p>Vision: Robust community and jurisdiction stakeholder engagement to develop vision.</p> <p>Design: >10% formal design and 3 alternatives for entire corridor based on results of the HCTC study.</p>	<p>Must develop new scope and ICE in order to estimate the project cost</p> <p>Secure funding</p> <p>Must go out to bid</p> <p>24-36 month study</p> <p>Increase data collection</p>	<p>Agreed upon vision and formal engineering design for the entire length of the corridor.</p>	<p>Time to secure funding + ~1-1.5 years for funding agreements, RFP, Board process.</p>	\$3-\$4M (Cannot estimate without a new scope and ICE)	<p>See attachment</p> <p>*VTA may not have funding to contribute to study</p>

*Options A and B require approval by VTA Board of Directors to perform scope as an add-on task to the contract for the Strategic Plan for Advancing High Capacity Transit Corridors

Options for Stevens Creek Study
Approximate Contribution by Jurisdiction

Jurisdictional Authority Linear Miles		
Jurisdiction	Length (miles)	Length (%)
Cupertino	2.45	30%
San Jose	3.785	46%
Santa Clara	1.595	19%
County	0.43	5%
Total	8.26	100

Option	Estimated Low	Estimated High	San Jose		Santa Clara		County		Cupertino	
			Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
A	NA	\$ 960,000.00	NA	\$ 233,699	NA	\$ 98,481	NA	\$ 26,550	NA	\$ 151,271
B	\$ 700,000.00	\$ 750,000.00	\$ 114,558.11	\$ 137,470	\$ 48,275	\$ 57,930	\$ 13,015	\$ 15,617	\$ 74,153	\$ 88,983
C	\$3,000,000.00	\$ 4,000,000.00	\$1,374,697.34	\$1,832,930	\$579,298	\$772,397	\$ -	\$208,232	\$579,298	\$1,186,441

Notes:

1. Linear miles from Stevens Creek at Montgomery to Stevens Creek at SR 85
2. Option A is confirmed proposal cost
3. Options B estimate based on descoping as discussed at meeting on 6.17.2020 and has not been negotiated with consultant
4. Option C is guesstimate based on existing proposal being extended to entire corridor. Must develop complete scope and ICE to determine actual estimate
5. City of San Jose committed contribution of \$100k according to adopted City Budget
6. VTA contribution of up to ~\$450K, pending approval by the VTA Board of Directors at the time of contract amendment